

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/812,177	INOUE, KEISUKE
	Examiner Saif A. Alhija	Art Unit 2128

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Saif A. Alhija.

(3) Andrew Zidel, Reg No. 45,256.

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 April 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Applicants representative, Andrew Zidel, Reg No. 45,256, to allow Applicants the opportunity to provide a preliminary amendment to resolve the undue multiplicity. Applicants representative elected claims 1-12, 24-34, and 53-63 to be examined..